

Keith W. Wendte
Serial No.: 10/759,309
AMENDMENT
Page 2

embodiment). For this reason Applicant the restriction requirement here was improper and Applicant requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

With respect to claim 19 and the dependent claims associated therewith, claim 19 is generic for reasons similar ot those described above with respect to claim 1.


Even if for some reason the restriction requirement is not completely withdrawn, Applicant notes that the only difference between the Species I and Species IV embodiments is that the Species I embodiments includes at least one rear press wheel and the Species IV embodiment requires two press wheels. Clearly the "at least one press wheel" limitation includes a two press wheel configuration and claim 1 is generic to the single and two press wheel embodiments in Figs. 1-12 and Figs. 16-18, respectively. For at least this reason Applicant believes that the restriction requirement should be at least partially withdrawn. If the restriction requirement is partially withdrawn so that at least Species I and Species IV embodiments are considered together, the claims that read on the two alleged species include claims 1-13, 19-21 and 23-29.

Applicant has introduced no new matter in making the above remarks. In view of the above remarks, Applicant believes claims 1-29 of the present application recite patentable subject matter and allowance of the same is requested. No fee in addition to the fees already authorized in this and accompanying documentation is believed to be required to enter this amendment, however, if an additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 17-0055 in the amount of the fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith W. Wendte

Date: 10-28-05

By: 
Michael A. Jaskolski
Reg. No. 37,551
Attorney for Applicant
QUARLES & BRADY, LLP
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI. 53202-4497
(414) 277-5711